

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

NITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCI nited States Patent and Trademark Office Idress: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

DATE MAILED: 11/05/2003

| PPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO | | |
|----------------|------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|--|--|
| 10/076,174 | 02/14/2002 | Paul F. Baude | 57322US002 | 8616 | | |
| 32692 | 7590 11/05/2003 | | EXAMINER | | | |
| 3M INNOV | ATIVE PROPERTIES | ESTRADA, | ESTRADA, MICHELLE | | | |
| | AN 55133-3427 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| | | | 2823 | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | | | | ane | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|---|--------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | | | Applicati | on No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
| | | 10/076,1 | 74 | BAUDE ET AL. | | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | | | Examin | | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | | | Michelle | Estrada | 2823 | | | | | |
| Period fo | - The MAILING DATE of this commu r Reply | nication | appears on th | e cover sheet with the c | orrespondence ac | idress | | | | |
| THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur - Any r | DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD ANALING DATE OF THIS COMMUN to soon of time may be available under the provision of time may be available under the provision (S) (6) MOVTHS from the mailing date of this common than the soon of th | STATION IN CONTROL OF | DN. R 1.136(a). In no ev i. a reply within the stal inod will apply and w lature cause the apr | rent, however, may a reply be tim tutory minimum of thirty (30) day iff expire SIX (6) MONTHS from blication to become ARANDONE | nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this of 0 (351) S.C. 6 133) | ly ommunication | | | | |
| 1)[🛛 | Responsive to communication(s) | iled on | 29 September | 2003 . | | | | | | |
| 2a) | This action is FINAL. | 2 b)⊠ | This action is | non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3)[] Dispositi | Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the praction of Claims | | | | | ne merits is | | | | |
| 4)⊠ | Claim(s) 1-83 is/are pending in the | applica | ition. | | | | | | | |
| | (a) Of the above claim(s) 6-72 and | 77-83 is | /are withdraw | n from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ | 6) Claim(s) 1-7 and 73-76 is/are rejected. | | | | | | | | | |
| 7) | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | | | |
| 8) | Claim(s) are subject to restri | iction ar | d/or election r | equirement. | | | | | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | | | | | | | | |
| 9)[] 7 | he specification is objected to by the | ne Exam | niner. | | | | | | | |
| 10) 🔲 7 | he drawing(s) filed on is/are | | | | | | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any of | | | | | | | | | |
| 11)[_] 7 | he proposed drawing correction file | | | | ved by the Examin | er. | | | | |
| | If approved, corrected drawings are re | | | ffice action. | | | | | | |
| | he oath or declaration is objected to | o by the | Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| | nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | | | | |
| | Acknowledgment is made of a clain | n for for | eign priority ur | ider 35 U.S.C. § 119(a |)-(d) or (f). | | | | | |
| | All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | | | | |
| | Certified copies of the priority | | | | | | | | | |
| | Certified copies of the priority | docum | ents have bee | n received in Application | on No | | | | | |
| | Copies of the certified copies application from the Interese the attached detailed Office action | national | Bureau (PCT | Rule 17.2(a)). | | Stage | | | | |
| 14) 🗌 A | cknowledgment is made of a claim | for dom | estic priority u | nder 35 U.S.C. § 119(e |) (to a provisional | application). | | | | |
| | The translation of the foreign la cknowledgment is made of a claim | | | | | | | | | |
| Attachment | (s) | | | | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice | of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) R | PTO-948) Paper No(| s) | 4) | | | | | | |

Art Unit: 2823

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, the first species (claims 1-7 and 73-76 in Paper filed 9/29/03 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title. If the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 5 and 73-75 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Miyake (5,534,969) and Luo (4,335,161).

Miyake discloses positioning an aperture mask (1) in proximity of a deposition substrate; stretching the aperture mask to align the aperture with the deposition substrate (Col. 8, lines 28-36); wherein stretching the aperture mask comprises stretching the aperture mask into alignment with one or more features on the deposition substrate; wherein the aperture mask is a polymeric aperture mask; wherein the layer on the deposition substrate comprises a layer in an integrated circuit.

Miyake does not disclose depositing material through the stretched aperture mask to form a layer on the deposition substrate.

Art Unit: 2823

Luo discloses depositing material through the stretched aperture mask to form a layer on the deposition substrate (Col. 4, lines 33-35 and 49-63 and Col. 10, lines 18-21); depositing material on a deposition substrate through the mask to define a patterned layer on an integrated circuit, wherein the aperture mask includes a patterned area comprising at least a portion of a thin film transistor (Col. 9, lines 64-68).

It would have been within the scope of one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Miyake and Luo to enable formation of the deposited material and for the reasons discussed in Luo.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been led to the recited dimensions and width through routine experimentation to achieve desired device dimensions, associated characteristics and desired device density on the finished wafer. Notwithstanding, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice bounded by well known manufacturing constraints and ascertainable by routine experimentation and optimization to choose these particular dimensions because applicant has not disclosed that the dimensions are for a particular unobvious purpose, produce an unexpected result, or are otherwise critical, and it appears prima facie that the process would possess utility using another dimension. Indeed, it has been held that mere dimensional limitations are prima facie obvious absent a disclosure that the limitations are for a particular unobvious purpose, produce an unexpected result, or are otherwise critical. See, for example, In re Rose, 220 F.2d 459, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955); In re Rinehart, 531 F.2d 1048, 189 USPQ 143 (CCPA 1976); Gardner v. TEC Systems, Inc.,

Art Unit: 2823

725 F.2d 1338, 220 USPQ 777 (Fed. Cir. 1984), cert. denied, 469 U.S. 830, 225 USPQ 232 (1984); In re Dailey, 357 F.2d 669, 149 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1966).

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Miyake and Luo as applied to claims 1-5 and 73-75 above, and further in view of Yoneda et al. (2003/0160325).

The combination of Miyake and Luo does not disclose further comprising positioning the aperture mask under the deposition substrate, wherein the stretching the aperture mask reduces sag in the aperture mask.

Yoneda et al. disclose positioning the aperture mask under the deposition substrate, wherein the stretching the aperture mask reduces sag in the aperture mask (Page 6, Paragraph [0072].

It would have been within the scope of one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Miyake, Luo and Yoneda et al. to enable formation of the mask of Miyake and Luo according to the teachings of Yoneda et al.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Miyake and Luo as applied to claims 1-5 and 73-75 above, and further in view of Clark et al. (6,589,382).

The combination of Miyake and Luo does not disclose wherein the layer in the integrated circuit comprises a layer in an organic light emitting diode.

Art Unit: 2823

Clark et al. disclose depositing a layer of organic material through a mask to form an organic light emitting diode (Col. 1, lines 15-40).

It would have been within the scope of one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Miyake, Luo and Clark et al. to enable formation of the depositing material of Miyake and Luo according to the teachings of Clark et al.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Miyake and Luo as applied to claims 1-5 and 73-75 above, and further in view of Brady et al. (6,259,408).

The combination of Miyake and Luo does not disclose wherein the layer in the integrated circuit comprises a layer in a radio frequency identification circuit.

Brady discloses wherein the layer in the integrated circuit comprises a layer in a radio frequency identification circuit (Col. 3, lines 30-42).

It would have been within the scope of one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Miyake, Luo and Brady to enable formation of the depositing material of Miyake and Luo according to the teachings of Brady.

Claim 76 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Miyake and Luo as applied to claims above, and further in view of Simons (5,626,784).

Luo discloses depositing a number of materials on the deposition substrate through a polymeric aperture mask to define an integrated circuit.

Art Unit: 2823

The combination of Miyake and Luo does not disclose further comprising sequentially depositing a number of materials on the deposition substrate through a number of repositionable polymeric aperture masks to define an integrated circuit.

Simons discloses sequentially depositing a number of materials on the deposition substrate through a number of repositionable polymeric aperture masks to define an integrated circuit (Col. 5, lines 20-30).

It would have been within the scope of one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Miyake, Luo and Simons to enable formation of the mask of Miyake and Luo to be performed according to the teachings of Simons.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michelle Estrada whose telephone number is (703) 308-0729. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Olik Chaudhuri can be reached on 703-306-2794. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

George Fourson Primary Examiner Art Unit 2823

MEstrada October 29, 2003

W. DAVID COLEMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER